YITP-16-105, IPMU16-0132

Where does curvaton live?
— Distinguishing bulk/brane frames —

François Larrouturou,[1,2] Shinji Mukohyama,[2,3] Ryo Namba,[3] and Yota Watanabe[3,2]

[1] *Ecole Normale Superieure, 45 rue d'Ulm,75005 Paris, France*
[2] *Center for Gravitational Physics, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, 606-8502, Kyoto, Japan*
[3] *Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan*

(Dated: September 21, 2016)

D-brane inflationary models propose candidates for an inflaton embedded in the string theory. In these models, two distinct metrics/frames are naturally introduced, brane and bulk metrics/frames, which are connected by a conformal+disformal transformation that depends on the inflaton and its derivatives. It has been shown that physical observables, such as curvature perturbations, that are generated by the inflaton are identical in both frames. However, in the case of curvaton scenarios, the results differ depending on the frames to which the curvaton minimally couples. We examine two models, a slow-roll inflation with an inflection point potential and a model of a rapidly rolling inflaton that conformally couples to gravity. In the first model, the difference between the frames in which the curvaton resides is encoded in the spectral index of the curvature perturbations, depicting the nature of the frame transformation. In the second model, the curvaton on the brane induces a spectral index significantly different from that in the bulk and is even falsified by the observations. This work thus demonstrates that two frames connected by a conformal/disformal transformation are distinguishable in curvaton models.

arXiv:1609.06191v1 [hep-th] 20 Sep 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation is one of the most powerful scenarios resolving the conceptual difficulties in the standard Hot Big Bang cosmology and explaining the origin of the large scale structure in the universe [1–3], but it still lacks a convincing candidate for the inflaton, the field driving inflation. Among many models of inflation, those based on string theory have been attracting special attentions, as they should in principle contain UV completion [4].

In higher-dimensional theories such as the string theory, the position of an extended object in extra-dimensions can be considered as a scalar field (or multiple of them) in the 4-dimensional spacetime, provided that the object extends along the three uncompactified spatial dimensions of the 4-dimensional spacetime. Brane inflation models take advantages of this simple fact. The first proposition to take the distance between two branes as a candidate for the inflaton was made by Dvali and Tye [5]. Those branes were then identified as a pair of D-brane and anti-D-brane [6, 7]. In the context of the brane inflation in type IIB string theory, one typically focuses on D3-branes and anti-D3-branes, but wrapped D5-branes can also be considered [8].

In the string theory setup, however, it was found by KKLMMT [9] that the slow-roll inflation is not as easy to achieve as previously thought, because of moduli stabilization. In spite of the difficulty, there are (at least) two ways to realize the D-brane inflation in the string theory: a slow-roll inflation driven by a potential with an inflection point [10], and a conformal rapid-roll inflation [11]. However, in the case of the inflection point potential, a rather severe fine-tuning is required for the inflaton in the vicinity of the inflection point to produce cosmological perturbations consistent with observational data. This situation may be ameliorated by a curvaton [12–14], another field responsible for the generation of curvature perturbations while energetically sub-dominant during inflation, as the fine-tuning can be relaxed. In the case of the conformal rapid-roll inflation, on the other hand, introduction of a curvaton is compulsory since the rapidly rolling inflaton does not generate large scale curvature perturbations. For these reasons, in both cases there is a good motivation to consider the curvaton scenario in the context of D-brane inflation.

Working with branes in higher dimensions naturally introduces two distinct metrics/frames: one is the induced metric on a brane (or a stack of branes); and the other is the 4-dimensional Einstein metric/frame. We shall call the former the *brane metric/frame* and the latter the *bulk metric/frame*. Physically speaking, the former is relevant to open string modes that propagate on the brane for which the induced metric is calculated. On the other hand, the bulk metric/frame is relevant to closed string modes that propagate in the bulk (as well as open string modes that propagate on other branes sitting at fixed positions in the extra dimensions).

The two metrics/frames are related to each other by a disformal transformation of the form $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = A(\phi)g_{\mu\nu} + B(\phi)\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$, where $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ are the brane and bulk metrics, respectively, and ϕ is the inflaton. It has been known that inflationary observables such as curvature perturbations are invariant under disformal transformations of this type [15–19].[1] On the other hand, if a second field such as curvaton is introduced, then observables may depend on whether it is (minimally) coupled to the bulk or the brane metric, since it can act as a physical measure to differentiate the two different frames.

The aim of the present paper is therefore to investigate if inflationary observables can in principle distinguish the bulk frame from the brane one in the context of the curvaton scenario. We consider two distinct models of the curvaton scenario: in one of the two models, the curvaton is introduced in the bulk frame; and in the other model, it is introduced in the brane frame. We see how the scalar spectral index differs between the two models. As for the inflationary background, we take two concrete examples, motivated by the D-brane DBI cosmology, for each of the two curvaton models: the slow-roll D-brane inflation driven by a potential with an inflection point [10] and the conformal rapid-roll inflation [11]. Hence we calculate the curvature power spectra for both the bulk and brane curvatons in each of these inflationary models and explore the frame distinguishability.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we first explain basic ingredients of the models, i.e., brane inflation models, disformal transformations, and the curvaton scenario. By combining them, we then describe the models that are studied in the present paper. In Sec. III, we study the slow-roll inflation driven by a potential with an inflection point, introducing a curvaton in each frame. In Sec. IV, we analyze each curvaton model in the conformal rapid-roll inflation. Sec. V is then devoted to a summary of this paper and some discussions.

---

[1] See [20] for the invariance of the number of physical degrees of freedom under disformal transformations when A and B depend also on $g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

A. D-brane inflation

The D-brane inflation arises when a stack of N D3-branes moves towards the tip of a warped throat (such as the Klebanov-Strassler (KS) throat [21] or any other geometries that are approximated by $AdS_5 \times X_5$, where X_5 is a 5-dimensional Einstein manifold [2]), while some anti-D-branes are stacked at the tip where they minimize their energy [4]. The present paper focuses only on D3-brane inflation, but it is straightforward to extend the analysis to D5- and D7-brane DBI inflation (see, e.g., [8]). In the throat region, the line element is given by

$$\mathrm{d}s_{10}^2 \equiv g_{\alpha\beta}^{(10)} \mathrm{d}X^\alpha \mathrm{d}X^\beta = h^2(y)\eta_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \mathrm{d}x^\nu \ + \ h^{-2}(y)\left(\mathrm{d}y^2 + y^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega_{X_5}^2\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$ is the 4-dimensional Minkowski metric, y is the radial coordinate in the extra-dimensions, $\mathrm{d}\Omega_{X_5}^2$ is a y-independent metric on X_5, and $h(y)$ is a dimensionless positive function of y called a warp factor. In order to avoid a curvature singularity, the warp factor should remain positive all the way down to the tip of the throat at $y = 0$. On the other hand, for large enough y, the warp factor behaves as $h(y) \simeq y/L$ with $L^4 = 4\pi^4 g_s N \alpha'^2/\mathrm{Vol}(X_5)$, where g_s is the string coupling and α' is the Regge slope, so that the geometry is well approximated by $AdS_5 \times X_5$. In the present paper, we shall only consider the region far from the tip, and thus $h(y) \simeq y/L$ is a good approximation. We nonetheless introduce a tiny deviation from this behavior and parameterize it by a small parameter β as $h(y) \simeq (y/L)^{1-\beta}$ (see Eq. (6)). While the 4-dimensional metric in supergravity solutions such as the Klebanov-Strassler (KS) throat [21] is set to be Minkowski, it can be promoted to a 4-dimensional curved metric, provided that the extra-dimensions are compactified and that all moduli are stabilized to a scale sufficiently higher than the physical scale of interest. We thus replace $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (1) by a 4-dimensional curved metric $g_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\mathrm{d}s_{10}^2 \equiv g_{\alpha\beta}^{(10)} \mathrm{d}X^\alpha \mathrm{d}X^\beta = h^2(y) g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \mathrm{d}x^\nu \ + \ h^{-2}(y)\left(\mathrm{d}y^2 + y^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega_{X_5}^2\right) \ , \tag{2}$$

with the X_5 part unchanged.

We now consider a D3-brane in the geometry Eq. (2). We suppose that the D3-brane extends to the 4-dimensions $\{x^\mu\}$ and that the angular coordinates of X_5 stay constant on the world-volume. Introducing the embedding function $Y(x)$ to describe the brane world-volume as $y = Y(x)$, the induced metric on the world-volume is then given by

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = h^2(Y) g_{\mu\nu} + h^{-2}(Y)\partial_\mu Y \, \partial_\nu Y = h^2(\phi) g_{\mu\nu} + T_3^{-1} h^{-2}(\phi)\partial_\mu\phi \, \partial_\nu\phi, \tag{3}$$

where we have defined the inflaton field as $\phi = \sqrt{T_3}Y$, $T_3 \equiv 1/(2\pi)^3 g_s \alpha'^2$ is the D3-brane tension, and we have denoted $h(Y) = h(\phi/\sqrt{T_3})$ as $h(\phi)$ for brevity. Assuming that the dilaton is stabilized to a constant value, the DBI action is written in terms of this induced metric as

$$S_{DBI} \equiv -T_3 \int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{-\det(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu})} = -T_3 \int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{-g} h^4(\phi) \sqrt{1 + \frac{g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi \, \partial_\nu\phi}{T_3 h^4(\phi)}}, \tag{4}$$

where $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$. Adding a Chern-Simons term, the inflaton potential, and the 4-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action, the 4-dimensional low-energy effective action for the inflaton is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2 \mathcal{R} - T_3 h^4(\phi) \sqrt{1 + \frac{g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi \, \partial_\nu\phi}{T_3 h^4(\phi)}} + T_3 h^4(\phi) - V(\phi) \right], \tag{5}$$

where M_{Pl} is the reduced Planck mass and $\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci scalar. Here we have separated the factor $T_3 h^4$ from the inflaton potential so that the action reduces to $\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2\mathcal{R}/2 - V(\phi)\right]$ for a constant ϕ. For large enough ϕ, the warp factor becomes $h(\phi) \simeq \phi/l$ with $l^4 = T_3^2 L^4 = \pi N T_3/[2\mathrm{Vol}(X_5)]$ so that the geometry is well approximated by $AdS_5 \times X_5$. In the present paper we shall consider a deviation from the AdS_5 warp factor, parametrized by a small parameter β as

$$h(\phi) = \left(\frac{\phi}{l}\right)^{1-\beta}. \tag{6}$$

The $AdS_5 \times X_5$ geometry is recovered in the limit $\beta \to 0$.

---

[2] Away from the tip, the KS throat is well approached by a $AdS_5 \times S^5$ geometry.

1. Slow-roll inflation

When the derivative of the inflaton is small enough so that $|g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi| \ll T_3 h^4(\phi)$, the action Eq. (5) is reduced to that for a minimally coupled canonical scalar field as

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}M_{\rm Pl}^2\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\,\partial_\nu\phi - V(\phi)\right]. \tag{7}$$

For a flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}\left(-1, a^2, a^2, a^2\right)$, where the scalar factor $a(t)$ is a function only of time t, the Friedmann equation and the equation of motion (EOM) of ϕ read, respectively,

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3M_{\rm Pl}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\phi\right)^2 + V(\phi)\right], \tag{8}$$

$$\partial_t^2\phi + 3H\partial_t\phi + V_\phi = 0\,, \tag{9}$$

where H is the Hubble parameter $H \equiv \partial_t a/a$, and ϕ in the subscript denotes a derivative with respect to ϕ. When ϕ rolls down its potential sufficiently slowly, i.e., if the so-called slow-roll parameters defined with respect to $V(\phi)$ as

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}\left(\frac{V_\phi}{V}\right)^2, \quad \eta_\phi \equiv M_{\rm Pl}^2\frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{V}\,, \tag{10}$$

are sufficiently small, then the motion of ϕ drives a (quasi-)de Sitter expansion, i.e. inflation, and the Eqs. (8) and (9) approximately become

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V(\phi)}{3M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,, \quad \partial_t\phi \simeq -\frac{V_\phi}{3H}\,. \tag{11}$$

In the slow-roll approximation, ϵ and η_ϕ in (10) coincide at the leading order with the "slow-roll" parameters in terms of H, defined as

$$\epsilon_H \equiv -\frac{\partial_t H}{H^2}\,, \quad \eta_\phi^H \equiv \frac{|V_{\phi\phi}|}{3H^2}\,. \tag{12}$$

Thus we will hereafter use the two definitions Eqs. (10) and (12) interchangeably whenever the slow-roll approximations are valid and the leading-order contributions are concerned.

2. KKLMMT fine-tuning problem

A naive expectation for the form of the potential $V(\phi)$ would be the Coulomb potential of interaction between a D3-brane and an anti-D3-brane [5]:

$$V_C(\phi) = V_0\left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\Delta}{\phi}\right)^4\right], \tag{13}$$

where Δ is a dimensionless constant depending on X_5, $V_0 = 2T_3h_t^4$, and h_t is the value of the warp factor at the tip of the throat. The constant V_0 term arises through the charge carried by the branes, and the ϕ dependent term is the interaction between them. This potential could be useful for inflation for two reasons: its flatness which allows slow-roll inflation for sufficiently large but sub-Planckian values of ϕ; and its positivity which drives inflation (as $H^2 \propto V$). However, a problem arises due to moduli stabilization, known as the KKLMMT fine-tuning problem [9]: a D3-brane backreacts on the Kähler potential through its position, and hence the Kähler moduli is no longer the compactification volume itself but becomes a combination of the compactification volume and the inflaton. Upon stabilizing the Kähler moduli, this yields a ϕ-dependent compactification volume, which induces a non-perturbative correction to $V(\phi)$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{V_C(\phi)}{(1-\phi^2/3M_{\rm Pl}^2)^2} \simeq V_C(\phi) + \frac{2}{3}\frac{V_C(\phi)}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\phi^2, \tag{14}$$

and thus an effective mass squared for large ϕ is $m_{eff}^2 \simeq (2/3)(V_0/M_{\rm Pl}^2) \simeq 2H^2$, which spoils the slow-roll inflation as $\eta_\phi \simeq 2/3$.

3. Inflection point potential

A way to avoid this large mass is to consider various other contributions to the potential and to fine-tune them against the contribution in Eq. (14). In a UV complete setup such as string theory this is not as trivial as one might naively think. It may have been the case that all other contributions may also give positive contributions to the inflaton mass squared. It is thus important to show that a concrete string theory setup can provide negative corrections (and perhaps positive corrections as well) to the inflaton mass squared, making it possible to cancel the positive mass squared in Eq. (14). For example, one can consider a set of $n > 1$ D7-branes supersymmetrically wrapping a four-cycle that extend to the throat [10]. For a Kuperstein embedding [22] of those branes, the new contribution to the effective single-field potential of the inflaton has a local minimum away from the tip. By adding this to Eq. (14) and fine-tuning the position of the D7-branes, the potential can develop an *inflection point* at some $\phi = \phi_0$, so that the effective potential can be expanded as

$$V = V_0 + \lambda_1(\phi - \phi_0) + \frac{1}{3!}\lambda_3(\phi - \phi_0)^3 + \cdots, \quad \lambda_1 \geq 0, \tag{15}$$

where dots represent terms of higher order in $(\phi - \phi_0)$. Hereafter, we assume that $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ so that the brane near the inflection point tends to move towards the tip of the throat. In this case, η_ϕ vanishes near the inflection point, i.e., the large mass is canceled by the effect of the D7-branes, and one recovers slow-roll inflation near ϕ_0, as long as $\epsilon(\phi_0)$ is small. In this case, the EOM's in the vicinity of ϕ_0 recover Eq. (11).

This model requires a rather severe fine-tuning, in order to ensure a scalar spectral index to be consistent with the observational constraint $n_s = 0.968 \pm 0.006$ [23]. The fine-tuning is so severe that, once the potential is tuned, the total number of e-folds is inevitably more than 150 [4]. For a small-field inflation scenario, this is a rather large number. While there is no observational upper bound on the total number of e-foldings, this large number at least illustrates that the fine-tuning is not easy to achieve. Indeed, if for example the total number of e-foldings is just enough to solve the homogeneity and flatness problems and if the inflaton is the leading contribution to primordial curvature perturbations, then the scalar spectral index is far from the observational bound. On the other hand, if we implement some additional mechanism, such as curvaton scenario, to generate primordial curvature perturbations, then the amount of fine-tuning may be significantly relaxed.

4. Rapid-roll inflaton

Another way to solve the problem of the large mass is to consider the large mass as a result of a conformal coupling of the inflaton to the curvature [11]. When a field couples to gravity non-minimally through the term of the form $-\xi\mathcal{R}\phi^2/2$, the field acquires an effective mass squared $\xi\mathcal{R}$. The case with $\xi = 1/6$ is called a conformal coupling. In a FLRW background, this effective mass squared $6\xi(\partial_t H + 2H^2)$ is of the order of $2H^2$ for the conformal coupling and this recovers the large mass arising from the moduli stabilization [3]. We thus consider an inflaton ϕ described by the action

$$S_{rr} = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[M_{\rm Pl}^2\mathcal{R} - \partial^\mu\phi\,\partial_\mu\phi - 2V(\phi) - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{R}\phi^2\right], \tag{16}$$

where the potential $V(\phi)$ is given by the Coulomb potential Eq. (13), i.e., $V(\phi) = V_C(\phi)$. The KKLMMT correction to the potential has already been taken into account by the conformal coupling and thus is not to be added to the Coulomb potential Eq. (13).

An interesting feature of this model is that the Hubble expansion rate remains almost constant, leading to an almost de Sitter expansion, as far as the potential $V(\phi)$ is flat enough. Namely, the large mass due to the conformal coupling (and thus the KKLMMT correction due to the moduli stabilization) does not spoil the quasi-de Sitter expansion. The flatness of the potential is measured by the standard slow-roll parameter ϵ in (10) and two additional parameters $\bar{\epsilon}$ and η_c defined as [4]

$$\bar{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{V_\phi\phi}{2V}, \quad \eta_c \equiv \eta_\phi + \frac{c+2}{3}\left[\frac{V_{\phi\phi}\phi}{V_\phi} + c\right], \tag{17}$$

---

[3] See, e.g., Appendix of [9].

[4] The quantity $\bar{\epsilon}$ defined here corresponds to $\tilde{\epsilon}$ defined in [11]. In the present paper we shall use the notation $\tilde{\epsilon}$ to define something else. See Eq. (30).

where ϵ and η_ϕ are defined by Eq. (10), and c ($\neq -2$) is a constant chosen so that $|\eta_c|$ is minimized in a range of ϕ. For example, if $V_{\phi\phi}\phi/V_\phi$ stays approximately constant and if $|\eta_\phi|$ is small, then one can choose $c = -V_{\phi\phi}\phi/V_\phi$. Under the conditions

$$\epsilon \ll 1, \quad |\bar{\epsilon}| \ll 1, \quad |\eta_c| \ll 1, \tag{18}$$

the Friedmann equation and the EOM of ϕ for the flat FLRW background with a homogeneous ϕ become

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V}{3M_{\rm Pl}^2}, \quad \partial_t\phi + H\phi \simeq \frac{-V_\phi}{(2+c)H}. \tag{19}$$

The solution at the zeroth order in (ϵ, $\bar{\epsilon}$, η_c) is thus

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V_0}{3M_{\rm Pl}^2} \simeq const., \quad \phi \simeq \phi_0 \frac{a_0}{a}. \tag{20}$$

Unlike slow-roll inflation, $\partial_t\phi$ is not small: this model is therefore called *conformal rapid-roll inflation* [11] and is realized if (ϵ, $\bar{\epsilon}$, η_c) are simultaneously small [5].

The conformal rapid-roll inflation takes into account the KKLMMT correction to the potential due to the moduli stabilization and yet provides inflation without further fine-tuning. The model is a low-scale inflation and predicts a small number of e-foldings: $N_* \lesssim 34$ [11] . However, this model itself does not generate cosmological curvature perturbations and thus has to be completed with another generation mechanism, such as the curvaton scenario.

B. Disformal transformation

Equation (3) gives a relation between the induced metric on the brane $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and the 4-dimensional Einstein metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. We call the former the *brane metric/frame* and the latter the *bulk metric/frame*. As we shall see now, the brane metric/frame is relevant to open string modes that propagate on the brane for which the induced metric is calculated. On the other hand, the bulk metric/frame is relevant to closed string modes that propagate in the bulk as well as open string modes that propagate on other branes sitting at fixed positions in the extra dimensions.

In order to see that open string modes on the D3-brane propagate freely on the brane metric/frame, let us consider the $U(1)$ gauge field on the brane. The $U(1)$ gauge field is described by the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action,

$$I_{\rm DBI} = -T_3 \int d^4x \sqrt{-\det(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu})}\ , \tag{21}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the $U(1)$ gauge field on the brane. Here, for simplicity we have assumed that the pullback of the NS-NS antisymmetric field on the brane world-volume vanishes and that the dilaton is stabilized as in the construction by Giddings, Kachru, and Polchinski [24]. Expanding the DBI action with respect to $F_{\mu\nu}$, it is easy to see that the $U(1)$ gauge field on the brane minimally couples to the brane metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ (instead of $g_{\mu\nu}$). Also, by splitting ϕ into the background and perturbation as $\phi = \phi^{(0)} + \delta\phi$, one can easily see that the perturbation $\delta\phi$ couples minimally to the background brane metric $\tilde{g}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} = h^2(\phi^{(0)})g_{\mu\nu} + T_3^{-1}h^{-2}(\phi^{(0)})\partial_\mu\phi^{(0)}\,\partial_\nu\phi^{(0)}$. Since the $U(1)$ gauge field and the brane bending mode $\delta\phi$ are open string degrees of freedom, we can thus regard $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as the metric relevant to the open string modes propagating on the brane.

On the other hand, the bulk metric/frame $g_{\mu\nu}$ is relevant to closed string modes that propagate in the bulk as well as open string modes that propagate on other branes sitting at fixed positions in the extra dimensions. Indeed, assuming that all moduli are properly stabilized, 4-dimensional low energy modes of these degrees of freedom propagate on $g_{\mu\nu}$. Also, after compactification of extra dimensions and stabilization of all moduli, the 4-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action for $g_{\mu\nu}$ is obtained from the 10-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action. This is of course the reason why we called $g_{\mu\nu}$ the 4-dimensional Einstein metric.

Despite the distinct physical meanings of the two metrics/frames explained above, the disformal transformation leaves some inflationary observables invariant if we consider ϕ as inflaton and if it is the dominant source of primordial curvature perturbations [15–19]. So, if the primordial curvature perturbations are generated by the inflaton, they are not affected by the disformal transformation.

---

[5] In principle, the standard slow-roll parameter η_ϕ does not have to be small as far as (ϵ, $\bar{\epsilon}$, η_c) are small. In the concrete example studied in the present paper, however, η_ϕ is also small.

However, if primordial curvature perturbations arise due to another field (such as a curvaton field) σ, then the transformation acquires a physical meaning: the way σ interacts with the inflaton ϕ depends crucially on whether σ is introduced in the bulk metric/frame or in the brane metric/frame. By "being introduced in the bulk metric/frame", we mean that the Lagrangian density of σ is $\mathcal{L}^\sigma = \sqrt{-g}[-g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\sigma\,\partial_\nu\sigma/2 - U(\sigma)]$, assuming that σ is a canonical scalar. On the other hand, by "being introduced in the brane metric/frame", we mean that the Lagrangian density of σ is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\sigma = \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}[-\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\sigma\,\partial_\nu\sigma/2 - U(\sigma)]$. In this case the spectrum of primordial curvature perturbations depends on the frame in which σ is introduced. An explicit demonstration of this is the aim of the present paper.

For a FLRW background with a homogeneous inflaton,

$$g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\mathrm{d}x^\nu = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a(t)^2\delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j, \quad \phi = \phi(t), \tag{22}$$

the disformal transformation Eq. (3) leads to

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\mathrm{d}x^\nu = -\tilde{N}^2\mathrm{d}t^2 + \tilde{a}^2\delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j, \quad \tilde{N} = \frac{h}{\gamma}, \quad \tilde{a} = ha, \tag{23}$$

where we have defined the Lorentz-like factor γ [6] as

$$\gamma \equiv \left[1 + \frac{(\partial\phi)^2}{T_3 h^4}\right]^{-1/2}, \quad (\partial\phi)^2 \equiv g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\,\partial_\nu\phi = -(\partial_t\phi)^2, \tag{24}$$

and it is understood that $h = h(Y = \phi/\sqrt{T_3})$. The two functions $\tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{a}$ are the lapse function and the scale factor from the viewpoint of open string modes on the brane in the time coordinate t. One can then introduce the proper time τ on the brane as $\mathrm{d}\tau \equiv \tilde{N}\mathrm{d}t$ and the Hubble expansion rate on the brane $\tilde{H}$ as

$$\tilde{H} \equiv \partial_\tau \ln(\tilde{a}) = \frac{\gamma}{h}H(1-\epsilon_h), \quad \epsilon_h \equiv -\frac{\partial_t h}{Hh}. \tag{25}$$

The quantity ϵ_h is positive, provided that the brane moves towards the tip of the throat.

C. Curvaton scenario

When the inflaton is not able to generate sufficient primordial curvature perturbations, as it can be the case in both of the presented models of inflation, one can introduce another field to produce them, the curvaton σ [12–14]. The curvaton field is energetically sub-dominant during inflation, and its quantum fluctuations generate isocurvature perturbations (entropy perturbations without curvature perturbations) which will be later converted to curvature perturbations. In order to compute the observable quantities generated by this field as in [13], for simplicity we assume that the curvaton is neither interacting nor washed out during inflation. We furthermore assume that there is a mass hierarchy $\eta_\sigma \equiv U_{\sigma\sigma}/(3H^2) \ll 1$, where U is the potential of σ and a subscript σ represents derivative with respect to σ, and that the curvaton energy density ρ_σ becomes a non-negligible fraction of the total energy density some time after inflation and before the decay of the curvaton.

In the present paper we consider two distinct models of the curvaton scenario for each of the two presented models of inflation. In the first model, we introduce a curvaton field σ in the bulk metric/frame $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the sense that was explained in Sec. II B. We call this a *bulk curvaton* model. The Lagrangian density is thus

$$\mathcal{L}^\sigma = \sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\sigma\,\partial_\nu\sigma - U(\sigma)\right], \quad \text{(bulk curvaton model)}, \tag{26}$$

where we have assumed that the curvaton σ is a canonical scalar. Examples of the bulk curvaton model are axions (pseudoscalar fields enjoying approximate shift symmetries) originated from 10-dimensional anti-symmetric fields and any light fields living on other branes sitting either in the same throat or in other throats. See [25] for a concrete construction of the curvaton field from the angular position of another brane. The resulting curvature perturbations obey, assuming (approximately) constant η_σ,

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta \simeq \left[\frac{R}{6\pi}\frac{U_\sigma(\sigma_*)}{U(\sigma_*)}H_{*0}\right]^2\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_s-1}, \quad n_s - 1 \simeq 2\eta_\sigma - 2\epsilon_H, \quad \eta_\sigma \equiv \frac{|U_{\sigma\sigma}|}{3H^2}, \tag{27}$$

[6] In term of ϕ, the line element Eq. (2) yields a speed of light along extra-dimensions $c_6 = \sqrt{T_3}h^2$.

where $R = 3\rho_\sigma/(4\rho_r + 3\rho_\sigma)$ is the fraction of curvaton energy density at curvaton decay [13], n_s is the spectral index, k_0 is a pivot scale, a subscript $*$ denotes horizon exit ($k = a_* H_*$), and a subscript $*0$ indicates that the quantity is to be evaluated when the pivot scale exits the horizon. For simplicity, we hereafter assume that the curvaton potential during inflation can be approximated by a quadratic one $U(\sigma) \simeq m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2/2$, reducing (27) to

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta \simeq \left(\frac{RH_{*0}}{3\pi\sigma_*}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_s-1}, \quad n_s - 1 \simeq 2\eta_\sigma - 2\epsilon_H, \quad \eta_\sigma \equiv \frac{m_\sigma^2}{3H^2}. \tag{28}$$

In the second model, on the other hand, we introduce a curvaton field σ in the brane metric/frame $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ in the sense that was explained in Sec. II B. We call this a *brane curvaton* model. The Lagrangian density is thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\sigma = \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\sigma\,\partial_\nu\sigma - U(\sigma)\right], \quad \text{(brane curvaton model)}. \tag{29}$$

Examples of the brane curvaton model are the angular position of the inflaton brane [25] and any other light fields living on the inflaton brane (such as angles among intersecting branes). The brane metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ shows an inflationary behavior when

$$\tilde{\epsilon} \equiv -\frac{\partial_\tau \tilde{H}}{\tilde{H}^2} = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon_h}\left(\epsilon + \epsilon_\gamma - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{1-\epsilon_h}\right), \tag{30}$$

is small, where $\epsilon_q \equiv -\partial_t q/(Hq)$ with $q = \{\gamma, h, 1-\epsilon_h\}$. This is realized when the parameters ϵ_q appearing in the expression of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ are all small or canceling with each other. Under this condition and if the mass of the curvaton is light enough, then the previous derivation applies similarly and, as the brane frame reduces to the bulk frame after inflation, one has (with the horizon exit at $k = \tilde{a}_* \tilde{H}_*$)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\zeta \simeq \left(\frac{R\tilde{H}_{*0}}{3\pi\sigma_*}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{\tilde{n}_s-1}, \quad \tilde{n}_s - 1 \simeq 2\tilde{\eta}_\sigma - 2\tilde{\epsilon}, \quad \tilde{\eta}_\sigma \equiv \frac{m_\sigma^2}{3\tilde{H}^2}. \tag{31}$$

If the brane metric does not exhibit an inflationary behavior and/or if the mass of the curvaton is not light enough, then the formula Eq. (31) does not hold, and one has to reconsider the power spectrum based on the brane curvaton Lagrangian density

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\sigma = \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\sigma\,\partial_\nu\sigma - U(\sigma)\right] = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{a}^2\left[(\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}\sigma)^2 - \delta^{ij}\partial_i\sigma\partial_j\sigma\right] - \tilde{a}^4 U(\sigma), \tag{32}$$

and the corresponding EOM,

$$\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}^2\sigma + 2(\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}\ln\tilde{a})\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}\sigma - \delta^{ij}\partial_i\sigma\partial_j\sigma + \tilde{a}^2 V_\sigma = 0, \tag{33}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ is the brane conformal time defined by

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{\eta} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tilde{a}} = \frac{\tilde{N}\mathrm{d}t}{\tilde{a}} = \frac{dt}{a\gamma}, \tag{34}$$

using (23).

D. Summary of model description

Combining the basic ingredients reviewed in the previous subsections, we here describe the analysis we shall perform in the following sections. Our goal is to differentiate the bulk vs. brane frames of a string-theoretical setup by comparing observable signatures, with a particular focus on the curvature power spectrum generated in the curvaton scenario. We investigate two distinct models of inflation motivated by the D-brane dynamics in the string theory. We compute the curvature power spectra $\mathcal{P}_\zeta$ in the two frames and the difference in their spectral indices in each of these models. The models and the basic structure of our analysis are therefore as follows:

1. **A slow-roll inflaton with an inflection-point potential**: model description in Sec. II A 3, and analysis in Sec. III

(1-i) $\mathcal{P}_\zeta$ by a curvaton in the bulk frame

(1-ii) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\zeta$ by a curvaton in the brane frame

2. **A rapid-roll inflaton conformally coupled to gravity**: model description in Sec. II A 4, and analysis in Sec. IV

(2-i) $\mathcal{P}_\zeta$ by a curvaton in the bulk frame

(2-ii) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\zeta$ by a curvaton in the brane frame

The two frames are related by the conformal+disformal transformation of the type (3) with the warp factor (6), and the difference in the observables is featured by the relevant quantities of the transformation introduced in Sections II B and II C. For simplicity, we assume that in each inflation model the curvaton σ has a canonical kinetic term and is minimally coupled to each frame metric, see Eqs. (26) and (29). We calculate the scalar spectral indices in the total four cases and test the ability to distinguish the frames in which the curvaton σ resides.

III. INFLECTION POINT MODELS

A. Range of parameters

For the inflection point potential Eq. (15), we specify the range of parameters to be considered. First, the potential slow-roll parameters Eq. (10) are calculated as

$$\epsilon \simeq \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{1+\theta\varphi^2}{1+\varphi+\frac{1}{3}\theta\varphi^3} \right)^2, \quad \eta_\phi \simeq 4\epsilon_0 \frac{\theta\varphi}{1+\varphi+\frac{1}{3}\theta\varphi^3}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\epsilon_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2\lambda_1^2}{V_0^2} \tag{36}$$

is the value of ϵ at the inflection point ϕ_0 and we have introduced the dimensionless variable φ and the dimensionless parameter θ as

$$\varphi \equiv \frac{\lambda_1}{V_0}(\phi-\phi_0), \quad \theta \equiv \frac{V_0^2}{2}\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1^3}, \tag{37}$$

so that the potential Eq. (15) is rewritten as

$$V(\varphi) = V_0\left[1+\varphi+\frac{1}{3}\theta\varphi^3+O(\varphi^4)\right]. \tag{38}$$

As the first condition, we thus demand that the slow-roll approximation be valid at the inflection point, i.e. $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$, yielding that

$$0 \le \lambda_1 \ll \frac{V_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}. \tag{39}$$

As the second condition, we also impose a microscopic constraint that applies to the string theory setup under consideration [26]. Assuming that the inflation starts at or before the inflection point, we require that the difference between the value of ϕ at the end of inflation and the value at the inflection point be smaller than the Planck scale in magnitude:

$$|\phi_{\rm end}-\phi_0| \lesssim M_{\rm Pl}. \tag{40}$$

The third condition is to ensure the validity of the truncated potential Eq. (38). Assuming that the potential Eq. (38) truncated at the third order in φ is a good approximation to the genuine potential based on string theory all the way from the vicinity of the inflection point to the end of inflation, the end of inflation is specified by either $\epsilon = 1$ or $\eta_\phi = \pm 1$, where ϵ and η_ϕ are given by Eq. (35). In the truncated potential (38) we now demand that the first term dominates over the sum of the second and third terms so that the truncation is justified. Since

$\varphi_{\rm end} = \sqrt{2\epsilon_0}(\phi_{\rm end} - \phi_0)/M_{\rm Pl}$, the second term is always much smaller than the first term under the microscopic constraint Eq. (40) and the slow-roll condition, $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$, that we have already imposed. In order for the first term to dominate the third term all the way down to the end of inflation, it is necessary and sufficient that either $|\theta\varphi_\epsilon^3/3| \ll 1$ or $|\theta\varphi_\eta^3/3| \ll 1$ is satisfied, where φ_ϵ and φ_η are defined by $\epsilon_0(\theta\varphi_\epsilon^2)^2 = 1$ and $4\epsilon_0\theta\varphi_\eta = -1$, respectively. We thus obtain the condition $\epsilon_0^3\theta^2 \gtrsim 1$, which yields that

$$\lambda_3 \gtrsim \frac{V_0}{M_{\rm Pl}^3}. \tag{41}$$

This implies that $|\varphi_\eta| < |\varphi_\epsilon|$ and that $\epsilon < |\eta_\phi| = O(1)$ at the end of inflation.

In summary, the regime of parameters to be considered is

$$0 \le \lambda_1 \ll \frac{V_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}, \quad \lambda_3 \gtrsim \frac{V_0}{M_{\rm Pl}^3}, \quad |\phi_{\rm end} - \phi_0| \lesssim M_{\rm Pl}. \tag{42}$$

The first and second conditions imply that $\theta \gg 1$. Slow-roll inflation with an inflection point potential therefore requires a very flat potential with a strong inflection behavior, and a short range of ϕ.

B. Inflaton evolution

In the regime of parameters Eq. (42), Friedmann and continuity equations Eq. (11) during inflation read

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V}{3M_{\rm Pl}^2} \simeq H_0^2, \quad \partial_t\varphi \simeq -\frac{V_\phi}{3H}\frac{\lambda_1}{V_0} \simeq -2\epsilon_0 H_0(1+\theta\varphi^2), \tag{43}$$

where $H_0 \equiv \sqrt{V_0/(3M_{\rm Pl}^2)}$. The second equation yields

$$\varphi(t) \simeq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}\tan\left[2\sqrt{\theta}\epsilon_0 H_0(t-t_0)\right], \tag{44}$$

where t_0 denotes the time when the inflaton passes through the inflection point of its potential. The slow-roll parameters defined in Eq. (10) are

$$\epsilon \simeq \frac{\epsilon_0}{\cos^4\left[2\sqrt{\theta}\epsilon_0 H_0(t-t_0)\right]}, \quad \eta_\phi \simeq -4\epsilon_0\sqrt{\theta}\tan\left[2\sqrt{\theta}\epsilon_0 H_0(t-t_0)\right]. \tag{45}$$

Hence, although $|\eta_\phi|$ vanishes at the inflection point, it grows as the inflaton rolls. As we have seen in the previous subsection, it is η_ϕ that determines the end of inflation under the condition Eq. (42).

C. Spectral indices in two models of curvaton

The scale factor $\tilde{a}$, the Hubble expansion rate $\tilde{H}$, and the first slow-roll parameter $\tilde{\epsilon}$ on the brane are given by the formulas Eqs. (23), (25), and (30), respectively. We thus need to calculate ϵ_h, ϵ_γ, and $\epsilon_{1-\epsilon_h}$. By using $\partial_t\phi/H = -M_{\rm Pl}\sqrt{2\epsilon_H} \simeq -M_{\rm Pl}\sqrt{2\epsilon}$, $\partial_t\epsilon/(H\epsilon) \simeq 4\epsilon - 2\eta_\phi$ and the form of the warp factor (6), it is easy to obtain

$$\epsilon_h \simeq (1-\beta)\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\phi}\sqrt{2\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon_\gamma \simeq (\gamma^2-1)(\eta_\phi - \epsilon - 2\epsilon_h), \quad \epsilon_{1-\epsilon_h} \simeq \frac{\epsilon_h}{1-\epsilon_h}\left(\frac{\epsilon_h}{1-\beta} + 2\epsilon - \eta_\phi\right). \tag{46}$$

Considering far-from-tip inflation (i.e., not too small $\phi/M_{\rm Pl}$) and only small (if any) deviations from the AdS_5 throat (i.e., small β), we see that $\epsilon_h \ll 1$ and that $\epsilon_{1-\epsilon_h}$ is negligible compared with ϵ_h. Moreover, since we are considering slow-roll inflation, $\gamma = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ and thus ϵ_γ is second-order in slow-roll parameters. We thus have

$$\tilde{a} = ha, \quad \tilde{H} \simeq \frac{H}{h}, \quad \tilde{\epsilon} \simeq \epsilon - (1-\beta)\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\phi}\sqrt{2\epsilon}. \tag{47}$$

A quasi-de Sitter expansion, i.e., $|\tilde{\epsilon}| \ll 1$, is therefore realized in the brane frame $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ if $\phi_0/M_{\rm Pl}$ is not too small. Since this work deals with far-from-tip inflation, it is reasonable to assume that this is the case.

In the brane curvaton model, a mode with a comoving wave number k exits the horizon when $k = \tilde{a}\tilde{H}$. On the other hand, in the bulk curvaton model a mode with the same comoving wave number k exits the horizon when $k = aH$. Therefore, in principle the time of horizon exit may be different in the two models of curvaton. However, we can easily show that in practice the difference is negligible. By definition we have $\tilde{a}_{\tilde{*}}\tilde{H}_{\tilde{*}} = a_* H_*$, where the subscripts $\tilde{*}$ and $*$ represent the horizon exit of the mode with comoving momentum k in the brane curvaton model and in the bulk curvaton model, respectively. By using Eq. (47), this is reduced to $a_{\tilde{*}}H_{\tilde{*}} \simeq a_* H_*$, leading to

$$t_{\tilde{*}} \simeq t_*. \tag{48}$$

Thus in the two models of curvaton, modes with the same comoving wavenumber exit the horizon at almost the same time.

Since a quasi-de Sitter expansion is realized in both frames, the spectral indices are given by Eqs. (28) and (31). The difference between them, $\tilde{n}_s - n_s$, is calculated as

$$\tilde{n}_s - n_s \simeq 2\left(\frac{H_*^2}{\tilde{H}_*^2} - 1\right)\eta_\sigma - 2(\bar{\epsilon} - \epsilon) \simeq \left[\left(\frac{\phi_*}{l}\right)^{2(1-\beta)} - 1\right]\eta_\sigma + 2(1-\beta)\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\phi_*}\sqrt{2\epsilon}, \tag{49}$$

where we have used Eq. (47) and the form of the warp factor Eq. (6). For the AdS_5 throat ($\beta = 0$), we obtain

$$\tilde{n}_s - n_s \simeq \left[\left(\frac{\phi_*}{l}\right)^{2} - 1\right]\eta_\sigma + \frac{2M_{\rm Pl}}{\phi_*}\sqrt{2\epsilon}. \tag{50}$$

Therefore in the scenario under consideration, the frame transformation has a physical meaning in the sense that the brane curvaton model and the bulk curvaton model predict different spectral indices even if the curvaton mass is the same in the two models. In other words, if the curvaton is responsible for the primordial curvature perturbations as observed in the cosmic microwave background radiation, then the inflaton potential and the curvaton mass have to be fine-tuned in different ways, depending on whether the curvaton is introduced in the brane frame or in the bulk frame.

IV. CONFORMAL RAPID-ROLL MODELS

A. Domain of rapid-roll inflation

Considering the inflaton as a conformally coupled field, rapid-roll inflation is realized when three parameters (ϵ, $\bar{\epsilon}$, η_c) are small, where ϵ is defined in Eq. (10), and $\bar{\epsilon}$ and η_c in Eq. (17). Defining $\delta \equiv (M_{\rm Pl}\Delta/\phi)^4$ and working with the Coulomb potential Eq. (13), i.e., setting $V(\phi) = V_C(\phi)$, the three parameters are

$$\epsilon = 8\left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\phi}\right)^2\left(\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\right)^2, \quad \eta_c = -20\left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\phi}\right)^2\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}, \quad \bar{\epsilon} = 2\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}. \tag{51}$$

Here, since $V_{\phi\phi}\phi/V_\phi = -5$ is constant, we have set $c = 5$ so that $\eta_c = \eta_\phi$. These three parameters are simultaneously small if $\phi/M_{\rm Pl} \gg \max[\Delta, \Delta^{2/3}]$, the first arising from $\epsilon_c \ll 1$ and the second from $|\eta_\phi| \ll 1$. As geometrical reasons disfavor $\phi \gtrsim M_{\rm Pl}$ in D-brane inflation models (see e.g. [8, 26]), it is necessary to suppose that $\Delta \ll 1$. Assuming $\Delta \ll 1$, the condition for rapid-roll inflation is then $|\phi/M_{\rm Pl}| \gg \Delta^{2/3}$. The solution at the zeroth order in (ϵ, $\bar{\epsilon}$, η_c) is given by Eq. (20), and thus

$$\partial_t\phi \simeq -H\phi. \tag{52}$$

The action Eq. (16) is a first order expansion in $(\partial_t\phi)^2/(T_3h^4)$ of the DBI action with a conformal coupling to gravity,

$$S_{DBI,cc} = \int {\rm d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}M_{\rm Pl}^2\mathcal{R} - T_3h^4(\phi)\sqrt{1 + \frac{\partial^\mu\phi\,\partial_\mu\phi}{T_3h^4(\phi)}} + T_3h^4(\phi) - V(\phi) - \frac{\xi}{2}\mathcal{R}\phi^2\right], \tag{53}$$

with $\xi = 1/6$ (see, e.g., [27] for phenomenology of the non-minimally coupled DBI action). Thus, the action Eq. (16) is justified only when $1 - \gamma^{-2} \ll 1$, where γ is defined by Eq. (24). Working with the AdS_5 throat $h = \phi/l$ and using $l^4 = \pi N T_3/[2{\rm Vol}(X_5)]$, we have

$$1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} = \frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2}{T_3h^4} = \frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2}{\phi^4}\frac{\pi N}{2{\rm Vol}(X_5)}. \tag{54}$$

By substituting the rapid-roll behavior Eq. (52), we thus obtain

$$1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}=\frac{H^2}{\phi^2}\frac{\pi N}{2\mathrm{Vol}(X_5)}=\sqrt{\delta}\left(\frac{H}{M_{\rm Pl}\Delta}\right)^2\frac{\pi N}{2\mathrm{Vol}(X_5)}. \tag{55}$$

Hence, the behavior Eq. (20) implies that $1-\gamma^{-2}$ and thus γ grow as the inflaton rapid-rolls.

For rapid-roll inflation, [11] gives the order of magnitude for the potential $V_0\sim(\mathrm{TeV})^4$, so $H/M_{\rm Pl}\sim(\mathrm{TeV}/M_{\rm Pl})^2\sim 10^{-30}$. Assuming $\pi N/[2\mathrm{Vol}(X_5)]=\mathcal{O}(1)$ leads to $1-\gamma^{-2}\sim 10^{-60}\sqrt{\delta}/\Delta^2\sim 10^{-60}(M_{\rm Pl}/\phi)^2\ll 10^{-60}\Delta^{-4/3}$, where the condition $\phi/M_{\rm Pl}\gg\Delta^{2/3}$ was used. Thus, although γ grows, $1-\gamma^{-2}$ remains to be small all the way down to the end of the rapid-roll inflation, provided that $\Delta\gtrsim 10^{-23}$, which is compatible with the assumption $\Delta\ll 1$. Hereafter, it will thus be assumed that

$$10^{-23}\lesssim\Delta\ll 1. \tag{56}$$

B. Ruling out brane curvaton model

The behavior of the brane curvaton in rapid-roll inflation is quite peculiar. As we shall show below, the curvaton on a brane produces a strongly blue spectrum of the curvature perturbations when the inflation is driven by a rapidly rolling inflaton and thus is disfavored by the observational data.

At the zeroth order in δ, the scale factor of the brane metric is $\tilde{a}=h_0a_0(a/a_0)^\beta$. Thus, for the AdS_5 throat ($\beta=0$), the brane metric does not expand. We thus need to take into account the effects of $\delta\neq 0$ or/and $\beta\neq 0$. Let us therefore go to the next order in δ. First, combining the equations in (19) yields the master equation for ϕ

$$\partial_t\phi=-\left(1-\frac{3\eta_\phi}{35}\right)H\phi. \tag{57}$$

The solution of this equation at the first order in δ is

$$\phi(t)\simeq\phi_0\frac{a_0}{a}-\frac{2}{7}\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2(M_{\rm Pl}\Delta)^4}{\phi_0^5}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^5\simeq\phi_0\frac{a_0}{a}\left[1-\frac{2\delta}{7}\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{\phi_0^2}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^2\right], \tag{58}$$

which satisfies Eq. (19) with $c=5$. The brane frame metric in this order is then

$$\tilde{N}=\frac{h_0a_0}{\gamma a}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^\beta,\quad \tilde{a}=h_0a_0\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^\beta\left[1-\frac{2\delta}{7}\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{\phi_0^2}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^2\right]^{1-\beta}. \tag{59}$$

Unfortunately, for $\beta=0$ and $\delta>0$, the brane metric does not expand but contracts.

Thus we next take into account $\beta\neq 0$, i.e. a deviation from the AdS_5 throat. For simplicity, we shall neglect the δ and γ^2-1 contributions. The brane metric is now given by

$$\tilde{a}\simeq h_0a_0\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^\beta,\quad \tilde{N}\simeq\frac{\tilde{a}}{a},\quad \tilde{H}\simeq\frac{\beta H}{\tilde{N}},\quad \tilde{\epsilon}\simeq\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}. \tag{60}$$

Thus, the brane metric expands if $\beta>0$. The EOM in Eq. (33) for a Fourier mode of the curvaton perturbation, $\delta\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{k}}=w_k\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}+w_k^*\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$, is

$$\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}^2w_k+\frac{2\beta}{-\tilde{\eta}}\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}w_k+K^2w_k=0, \tag{61}$$

where $K^2\equiv k^2+\tilde{a}^2V_{\sigma\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ is the conformal time on the brane, defined in (34). Taking the massless limit for simplicity, $K^2=k^2$, we obtain the Bunch-Davies type solution in terms of the Hankel function as

$$w_k=\frac{i}{\tilde{a}}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\sqrt{-\tilde{\eta}}\,H^{(1)}_{\beta+1/2}(-k\tilde{\eta}), \tag{62}$$

up to an irrelevant constant phase, where $H^{(1)}_\nu(x)$ is the Hankel function of the first kind. In the super-horizon limit, $-k\tilde{\eta}\ll 1$, this expression reduces to

$$w_k\simeq\frac{1}{a_0h_0}\frac{(2H_*a_0)^\beta}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}}. \tag{63}$$

Following [13], this mode leads to the power spectrum and the spectral index,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\zeta = \frac{(2H_*a_0)^{2\beta}}{\pi(a_0h_0)^2}\left(\frac{R\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)}{3\pi\sigma_*}\right)^2 k^{\tilde{n}_s-1}, \quad \tilde{n}_s - 1 = 2(1-\beta). \tag{64}$$

To derive Eq. (64), H has been considered as a constant. In more general situations, an extra k-dependence is expected from H_*.

With a small deviation from the $AdS_5 \times X^5$ geometry (i.e., small β), the brane curvaton model in rapid-roll inflation induces a too large scale dependence, $\tilde{n}_s \simeq 3$, while current data constrain $\tilde{n}_s = 0.968 \pm 0.006$ [23].

C. Bulk curvaton model

In the bulk curvaton model, assuming the mass hierarchy $m_\sigma \ll H$ (i.e., $\eta_\sigma \ll 1$), the power spectrum and the spectral index are given by Eq. (28). One thing that we should notice is that, unlike slow-roll inflation, we have $\epsilon_H \simeq \bar{\epsilon}$ [11]. Thus, the spectral index in the bulk curvaton model is given by

$$n_s - 1 \simeq 2\eta_\sigma - 2\bar{\epsilon}. \tag{65}$$

Thus the observational constraint $\tilde{n}_s = 0.968 \pm 0.006$ [23] can be fulfilled in this model.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In the context of a slow-roll D-brane inflation with an inflection point potential and a rapid-roll D-brane inflation with a conformal coupling, we have considered the difference between the two frames/metrics in the curvaton scenario and have studied cosmological perturbations originated from each of them. In the bulk curvaton model, the curvaton minimally couples to the bulk metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, which is the 4-dimensional part of the 10-dimensional bulk metric as in (2). In the brane curvaton model, on the other hand, the curvaton minimally couples to the brane metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, which is the 4-dimensional induced metric on the world-volume of the inflaton D-brane as in (3). In the case of a slow-roll inflation with an inflection point potential, we have shown that the difference of the spectral indices between the two curvaton models is not necessarily small as shown in (50). Thus, in this case one needs to tune the inflaton potential and the warp factor in different ways in the two curvaton models. In the case of the conformal rapid-roll inflation, while the bulk curvaton model can be consistent with observational data, we have shown in subsection IV B that the brane curvaton model is excluded by observation. The two metrics, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, are related by a conformal+disformal transformation, and thus our present study provides an explicit example for which such a metric transformation has a physical impact on observable quantities.

The two models of inflation considered in the present paper are low energy models and thus predict a small tensor-to-scalar ratio well below the current bound $r < 0.11$ (95% CL) [23]. The introduction of a curvaton as the dominant source of curvature perturbations does not change this conclusion and actually reduces the tensor-to-scalar ratio to an even smaller value. On the other hand, the curvaton scenario may yield a large non-Gaussianity [28], unlike the standard single field inflation models [29]. In the absence of nonlinear evolution and for $R = 1$ (see the definition of R after (27)), the nonlinear parameter is predicted to be $f_{NL} = 5/4$. The current bound $f_{NL} = 0.8 \pm 5.0$ (68% CL) [30] indicates that curvaton models may observationally be either excluded or confirmed in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F. L. is grateful to YITP for hosting him during his internship. This work was supported in part by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 24540256 (S. M.) and No. 16J06266 (Y. W.), by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan (Y. W.), and by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan.

[1] A. H. Guth, "The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems," Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347

[2] K. Sato, "First Order Phase Transition of a Vacuum and Expansion of the Universe," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **195**, 467 (1981).

[3] A. A. Starobinsky, "A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity," Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X

[4] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, "Inflation and String Theory", Cambridge University Press, 2015.

[5] G. R. Dvali and S. H. H. Tye, "Brane inflation," Phys. Lett. B **450**, 72 (1999) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00132-X [hep-ph/9812483].

[6] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and S. Solganik, "D-brane inflation," hep-th/0105203.

[7] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, "The Inflationary brane anti-brane universe," JHEP **0107**, 047 (2001) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/047 [hep-th/0105204].

[8] T. Kobayashi, S. Mukohyama and S. Kinoshita, "Constraints on Wrapped DBI Inflation in a Warped Throat," JCAP **0801**, 028 (2008) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2008/01/028 [arXiv:0708.4285 [hep-th]].

[9] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, "Towards inflation in string theory," JCAP **0310**, 013 (2003) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2003/10/013 [hep-th/0308055].

[10] D. Baumann, A. Dymarsky, I. R. Klebanov, L. McAllister and P. J. Steinhardt, "A Delicate universe," Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 141601 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.141601 [arXiv:0705.3837 [hep-th]].

[11] L. Kofman and S. Mukohyama, "Rapid roll Inflation with Conformal Coupling," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 043519 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.043519 [arXiv:0709.1952 [hep-th]].

[12] K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, Nucl. Phys. B **626**, 395 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00043-3 [hep-ph/0109214].

[13] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, Phys. Lett. B **524**, 5 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01366-1 [hep-ph/0110002].

[14] T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, Phys. Lett. B **522**, 215 (2001) Erratum: [Phys. Lett. B **539**, 303 (2002)] doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02070-1, 10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01295-3 [hep-ph/0110096].

[15] N. Deruelle and M. Sasaki, "Conformal equivalence in classical gravity: the example of 'Veiled' General Relativity," Springer Proc. Phys. **137**, 247 (2011) doi:10.1007/978-3-642-19760-4_23 [arXiv:1007.3563 [gr-qc]].

[16] J. O. Gong, J. c. Hwang, W. I. Park, M. Sasaki and Y. S. Song, "Conformal invariance of curvature perturbation," JCAP **1109**, 023 (2011) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/09/023 [arXiv:1107.1840 [gr-qc]].

[17] T. Chiba and M. Yamaguchi, "Conformal-Frame (In)dependence of Cosmological Observations in Scalar-Tensor Theory," JCAP **1310**, 040 (2013) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/10/040 [arXiv:1308.1142 [gr-qc]].

[18] H. Motohashi and J. White, "Disformal invariance of curvature perturbation," JCAP **1602**, no. 02, 065 (2016) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/02/065 [arXiv:1504.00846 [gr-qc]].

[19] G. Domènech, A. Naruko and M. Sasaki, "Cosmological disformal invariance," JCAP **1510**, no. 10, 067 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/10/067 [arXiv:1505.00174 [gr-qc]].

[20] G. Domènech, S. Mukohyama, R. Namba, A. Naruko, R. Saitou and Y. Watanabe, "Derivative-dependent metric transformation and physical degrees of freedom," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 8, 084027 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.084027 [arXiv:1507.05390 [hep-th]].

[21] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, "Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and chi SB resolution of naked singularities," JHEP **0008**, 052 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/08/052 [hep-th/0007191].

[22] S. Kuperstein, "Meson spectroscopy from holomorphic probes on the warped deformed conifold," JHEP **0503**, 014 (2005) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/014 [hep-th/0411097].

[23] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraints on inflation," arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO].

[24] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 106006 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.106006 [hep-th/0105097].

[25] T. Kobayashi and S. Mukohyama, "Curvatons in Warped Throats," JCAP **0907**, 032 (2009) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/07/032 [arXiv:0905.2835 [hep-th]].

[26] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 123508 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123508 [hep-th/0610285].

[27] D. A. Easson, S. Mukohyama and B. A. Powell, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 023512 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.023512 [arXiv:0910.1353 [astro-ph.CO]].

[28] M. Sasaki, J. Valiviita and D. Wands, "Non-Gaussianity of the primordial perturbation in the curvaton model," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103003 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.103003 [astro-ph/0607627].

[29] J. M. Maldacena, "Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models," JHEP **0305**, 013 (2003) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/05/013 [astro-ph/0210603].

[30] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2015 results. XVII. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity," doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525836 arXiv:1502.01592 [astro-ph.CO].